Page 1 of 3

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2005-0961-PST-E TCEQ ID: RN102464559; CASE NO.: 25638 RESPONDENT NAME: NEPTUNE INTERNATIONAL, INC. DBA PICK N PAY

ORDER TYPE:					
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER			
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER				
CASE TYPE:					
AIRMULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE					
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	X PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	_OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
WATER QUALITY	_SEWAGE SLUDGE	_UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURE TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience stor	EED: 2510 Berry Road, Houston, Harris Count	y			
SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No					
OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Ther facility.	e are no complaints. There is no record of addition	onal pending enforcement actions regarding this			
INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other tha	nn the ED and the Respondent has expressed an	interest in this matter.			
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg	ister comment period expired on May 26, 2008	. No comments were received.			
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on May 26, 2008. No comments were received. CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019 Ms. Jennifer Cook, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-1873 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Colin Barth, Waste Enforcement Section, MC 128, (513) 239-0086 TCEQ Regional Contact: Ms. Nicole Bealle, Houston Regional Office, MC R-12, (713) 767-3623 Respondent: Mr. Yusaffali Hamirani, President, Neptune International, Inc., 1720 Dulles Avenue, Sugarland, Texas 77478 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter.					

RESPONDENT NAME: NEPTUNE INTERNATIONAL, INC. DBA PICK N PAY Page 2 of 3 DOCKET NO.: 2005-0961-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$19,950	Ordering Provision(s):
Complaint _X Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Settlement Financial Inability to Pay	The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent no longer owns the Station.
Date of Complaints Relating to this Case: None	Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: \$560/\$19,390	
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: March 31, 2005 Date of NOE Relating to this Case:	The Respondent has paid \$560 of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of \$19,390 of the administrative penalty shall be payable in thirty-five monthly payments of \$554 each.	
May 20, 2005 (NOE) Background Facts:	Site Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor	
The EDPRP was filed on December 12, 2005. The Respondent requested a Financial Inability to Pay ("FIP") analysis; Financial Administration determined that the Respondent did not qualify for and FIP deferral. Settlement was achieved and a signed agreed order with initial payment was received on March 26, 2008.	Person Compliance History ClassificationHigh _X_AveragePoor Major Source:Yes _X_No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
PST:		
1. Failed to maintain the Stage II Vapor Recovery System (the "System") in proper operating condition and free of defects which would impair the effectiveness of the System, including absence or disconnection of components which are part of the approved System, as specified by the manufacture and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board ("CARB") Executive Order(s) [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3)(A) and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].		
 Failed to verify proper operation of the System by not performing required annual and triennial testing of the System [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)]. 		
		·

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED	
3. Failed to maintain on-site and make immediately available for review upon request by a TCEQ representative the following records: a copy of the CARB Executive Order(s) or third-party certification(s) for the System and related components installed at the Station; a record of any maintenance conducted on the System; proof of attendance and completion of Stage II training requirements and documentation of such training for current Station employees; and a record of the results of daily inspections conducted at the Station [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.246(1), (3), (4), (6), and (7)(A), and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].			
Failed to have on each pressurized delivery or product line a UL-listed emergency shutoff valve installed and securely anchored at the base of the dispenser [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.45(c)(3)(A)].			
5. Failed to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control for the UST system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.48(c)].			
5. Failed to regularly inspect, at least once every sixty (60) days, the cathodic protection system, and failing to have the cathodic protection system inspected and tested by a qualified corrosion specialist or corrosion technician within three to six months after installation and at a subsequent frequency of at least once every three years [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(2)(C) and (c)(4)(C), and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d)].			
7. Failed to provide proper release detection for the UST system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A), (b)(2), and (b)(2)(A)(i)(III), and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1) and (a)].			

Page 1 of 16	05/19/08 H:\ENFORCE\LRoberts\Active Cases\1 AGENDA\Neptune Int	tl dba Pic N Pay\PCW.qpw
	Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)	
Policy Revision 2	(September 2002)	PCW Revision May 19, 2005
TCEQ		
	23-May-2005	:
PCW	05-Oct-2005 Screening 31-May-2005 EPA Due	
RESPONDENT/FACIL	ITY INFORMATION	
	Neptune International Inc. dba Pick N Pay	
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.		
Facility/Site Region	12-Houston Major/Minor Source	Minor Source
CASE INFORMATION		7
Enf./Case ID No.		
1		A. Sunday Udoetok
Multi-Media		Enforcement Team 3
Admin. Penalty \$ Li		Zimereement ream e
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	
	Penalty Calculation Section	
	r charty caroalation couldn	ARC TOTAL
TOTAL BASE PEN	IALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1 \$19,000
TOTAL DAGLT LI	AALTI (Outil of Violation base penalties)	
AD HISTMENTS /	-/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1	
	obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	
Compliance H		otals 2, 3, & 7 \$950
Compilance		7555
Notes	A five percent (5%) enhancement is given for one NOV for same or similar violations.	•
·	Similar Violations.	
Culpability	No < 0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4 \$0
Notes	The respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	
015-11-5	Court to Community	Subtotal 5 \$0
Good Faith E	ffort to Comply 0% Reduction	Subtotal 5 \$0
Extraordinary	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
Ordinary		
N/A	X (mark with a small x)	
Notes	The respondent is not yet in compliance.	
l		and the state of t
Economic Be	nefit 0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6 \$0
	Total EB Amounts \$2,061 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount	
Approx. C	ost of Compliance \$6,342	
SUM OF SUBTOT	ALS 1-7	inal Subtotal \$19,950
*		
OTHER FACTORS	S AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	Adjustment \$0
Reduces or enhances the Fi	nal Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g30 for -30%.)	
Notes		
ivoles		
	Final Pe	nalty Amount \$19,950
STATUTORY LIMI	T ADJUSTMENT Final Asse	ssed Penalty \$19,950
DEFERRAL	0% Reduction	Adjustment \$0
Reduces the Final Assessed	Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	

No deferral offered because this is not an expedited case.

\$19,950

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 31-May-2005

Docket No. 2005-0961-PST-E

Respondent Neptune International Inc. dba Pick N Pay

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Case ID No. 25638

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102464559 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator A. Sunday Udoetok

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of	Enter N	umber Here	Adjust.
:	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current		1	5%
NOVs	enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)			
	Other written NOVs		0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)		0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement or without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the		0	0%
	commission		141	
Judgments and	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees contain a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	ing	0	0%
Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a de of liability, of this state or the federal government	enial	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (numb of counts)	er	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	-	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Ac 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were		0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits fowhich violations were disclosed)	r	0	0%
		se Ente	r Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more		No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executiv director under a special assistance program	e	No	0%
Uther	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	1	No	0%
- - -	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements		·No	0%

			Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)	5%
>>	Repeat Violator (S	ubtotal 3)		
	No		Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)	0%
>>	Compliance Histor	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)	•	
	Average Perforn	ner 🔄	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)	0%
>>	Compliance Histor	y Summary		
	Compliance History Notes	A five percent (5%) enhancement is give	en for one NOV for same or similar violations.	
		Total Adjustme	ent Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)	5%

	Page 3 of 16 05/19/08	H:\ENFORCE\LRoberts\Active Cases\1 AGENDA\Neptune Intl dba Pic N	√ Pay\PCW.qpw
	Screening Date	31-May-2005 Docket No. 2005-0961-PST-E	PCW
	Respondent	Neptune International Inc. dba Pick N Pay Policy Re	evision 2 (September 2002)
	Case ID No.		CW Revision May 19, 2005
Reg	. Ent. Reference No.		
		Petroleum Storage Tank	
	Enf. Coordinator Violation Number		
	Violation Number		٠. :
		30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.246(7)(A), 115.246(1), 115.246(3), 115.246(4), and 115.246(6)	!
	Primary Rule Cite(s)	110.240(4), und 110.240(0)	
	Secondary Rule Cite(s)	Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	
	Violation Description	Failure to maintain all required Stage II records on-site and make immediately available for review upon request by a TCEQ representative. Specifically, the respondent failed to maintain a copy of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Executive Order(s) for the Stage II vapor recovery system, daily inspection log, maintenance log for all repairs/replacements conducted, and Stage II training records.	
		Base Penalty	\$10,000
>>	Environmental Pro	operty and Human Health Matrix	
	Liviroinnentai, i ic	Harm	
	Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR	Actual Potential	Percent	
	· / Otential		The state of the s
>>	Programmatic Mat	rix	
	Falsification	Major Moderate Minor X Percent 10%	
		x Percent 10%	The state of the s
	Matrix Notes Th	e respondent did not fulfill 100% of the rule requirement.	
		Adjustment -\$9,000	
		Base Penalty Subtota	\$1,000
	Violation Events		
	Number of Violati	on Events 1	
	mark only one use a small x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event X	, \$1,000
	One :	single event is recommended based on the March 31, 2005 investigation.	
	Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
	Estimated El	3 Amount \$4 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,050
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,050

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Neptune International Inc. dba Pick N Pay
Case ID No. 25638
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102464559

Media [Statute] Violation No.	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest 5.0	Years of Depreciation 15
	Item	Date	Final	Yrs	Interest	Onetime	EB
Item	Cost	Required	Date		Saved	Costs	Amount
Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment		- The state of the first factor of the first f		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	providence to provide the contract of the cont			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	The state of the s		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$1,000	31-Mar-2005	27-Apr-2005	0.1	\$4	n/a	\$4
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co		nt a record kee od the Final Dat				vestigation
Avoided Costs	ANNU	JALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before en	ering ite	m (except for one	time avoided co	sts)
Disposal			·	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling	,			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$1,000					TOTAL	\$4
. ipp. ox. oost of compliance	\$1,000						ΨΤ

Case ID No. 25638 PCW Re	PCW n 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 25638	, .
·	
Dan Ent Datarana Na DN400404550	evision May 19, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102464559	
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator A. Sunday Udoetok	
Violation Number 2	
Primary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(3)(A)	
Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	
Violation Description Failure to maintain all components of the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable CARB Executive Order(s), and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. Specifically, there were no swivel adaptors installed on the Plus or Regular Stage I dry breaks or fill ports, and no pressure relief valve was present.	
Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm	
Release Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual	
Potential X Percent 10%	
>> Programmatic Matrix	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor	
Percent	
Failure to maintain the Stage II Vapor Recovery System in proper operating condition, could expose human health or the environment to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or the environment.	
Adjustment -\$9,000	
Base Penalty Subtotal	\$1,000
Wi-letter Franks	
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 1	
daily monthly Violation Base Penalty Violation Base Penalty semiannual single event	\$1,000
One quarterly event is recommended based on the March 31, 2005 investigation date to the April 27, 2005 compliance date.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	n en
Estimated EB Amount \$1 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,050

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

0.0

\$0

\$0

TOTAL

\$0

\$1

Other (as needed)

\$300

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

	Page 7 of 16 05/19/08	H:\ENFORCE\LRoberts	Active Cases\1 AGENDA\	Neptune Intl dba Pi	c N Pay\PCW.qpw
	Screening Date	31-May-2005	Docket No. 2005-096	31-PST-E	PCW
	Respondent	Neptune International Inc.	dba Pick N Pay	Policy	Revision 2 (September 2002)
	Case ID No.		•		PCW Revision May 19, 2005
Reg	. Ent. Reference No.				
		Petroleum Storage Tank			
	Enf. Coordinator Violation Number	A. Sunday Udoetok			
	Primary Rule Cite(s)		x. Admin. Code § 115.245(2)	
	Secondary Rule Cite(s)	Tex. Hea	alth & Safety Code § 382.08	85(b)	
	Violation Description		ired annual or triennial tes ion of the Stage II equipme		
				Base Pena	Ity \$10,000
>>	Environmental, Pro	perty and Human He	alth Matrix	•	
		Harm			
OR	Release Actual	Major Moderate M	inor		
	Potential	X	Percent	25%	
>>	Programmatic Mata	rix			•
	Falsification	•	inor		
			Percent		
	Matrix Notes and the	environment to significant dievels that are protective	inial tests could expose hui amounts of pollutants whice of human health or enviror ptors.	ch would	
			A	djustment -\$7,5	00
			В	ase Penalty Subto	tal \$2,500
	Violation Events				
	Number of Violati	on Events : 1			
	mark only one use a small x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual x single event	Vi	olation Base Pena	lty \$2,500
	One a		ed based on the 12-month 31, 2005 investigation.	period	
	Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statuto	ry Limit Test	
	Estimated E	3 Amount \$788	Violatio	n Final Penalty To	tal \$2,625

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

TOTAL

\$788

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$750

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

\$5,250

0.0

0.0

\$0

\$0

\$0

TOTAL

\$0

\$0

\$94

ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]

Approx. Cost of Compliance

Other (as needed)
Notes for AVOIDED costs

Page 11 of 16 05/19/08	H:\ENFORCE\LRoberts\Active	Cases\1 AGENDA\Neptune Int	l dba Pic N Pay\PCV	V.qpw
Screening Date	31-May-2005 Doc	ket No. 2005-0961-PST-E	and a suppose of the	PCW
•	Neptune International Inc. dba Pic	ck N Pay	Policy Revision 2 (Sep	tember 2002)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision	May 19, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank	•		
Enf. Coordinator				- P
Violation Number				*
Primary Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Adm	in. Code § 334.48(c)		
Secondary Rule Cite(s)				3
Violation Description		nanual or automatic inventory of for the UST system.	ontrol	**
•		Bas	e Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Pro	pperty and Human Health M	latrix		And the state of t
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor			1
OR Actual		D		***************************************
Potential		Percent 25%		
>> Programmatic Mat	ix			
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	Percent		Assa phrone
		reiteint		Total Company
result ir	o provide the proper inventory cont the release of a significant amour d levels that are protective of huma receptors.	nt of pollutants which would		
•	,	Adjustment[-\$7,500	-
	,	Base Penalty	Subtotal	\$2,500
Violation Events			•	oppose at all above to the control oppose
Number of Violati	on Events 1			Comment
mark only one use a small x	daily monthly quarterly X semiannual annual single event	Violation Bas	e Penalty	\$2,500
	uarterly event is recommended bas vestigation date to the April 27, 20		•	· programme of the control of the co
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statutory Limit To	est	C. CANDO O FLOR PLANS
Estimated El	3 Amount \$1,092	Violation Final Pena	alty Total	\$2,625
	This violation Final	Assessed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits)	\$2,625

\$1,092

Approx. Cost of Compliance

Required is the investigation date and the Final Date is the date of compliance.

TOTAL

	Page 13 of 16 05/19/08	H:\ENFORCE\LRol	berts\Activ	e Cases\1	AGENDA\Neptu	ne Inti dba Pic N	Pay\PCW.qpw
	Screening Date	31-May-2005	Do	cket No.	2005-0961-PST	'-E	PCW
	•	Neptune International	l Inc. dba l	Pick N Pay		Policy Rev	rision 2 (September 2002)
_	Case ID No.					. PC	CW Revision May 19, 2005
Reg	. Ent. Reference No.						
		Petroleum Storage Ta	ank				
	Enf. Coordinator Violation Number		•				
		20 T A.L.	nin Code 8	334 49(c)	(4) and 334.49(c)(2)(C)	
	Primary Rule Cite(s)					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Secondary Rule Cite(s)		rex. wat	er Code § 2	20.3473(0)		
	Violation Description	protection system at	ection with frequency failed to ir least once	in three to of at least aspect the e every 60	six months after once every three impressed currer	installation and years. The at cathodic nat the rectifier	
						Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> OR	Environmental, Pro Release Actual Potential	operty and Human Harm Major Moderate	Minor	Matrix	Percent	25%]	
		_		_			•
>>	Programmatic Mati						·
	Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	ī	Percent		
			Note that the same of the same	<u></u>			
	Matrix Notes improper o	o test and inspect the corrosion protection on see of petroleum productorotective of human he	the UST states that the thick the thick the the thick th	system and ould exce	d allow an undete ed levels that are I receptors.	cted	
			•		Adjustn	<u> </u>	
					Base Pe	nalty Subtotal	\$2,500
	Violation Events						
	Number of Mal-1	on Events 2					
	Number of Violati mark only one use a small x	daily monthly quarterly X semiannual annual single event			Violatior	n Base Penalty	\$5,000
		arterly events are recor					ı
	Economic Benefit (EB) for this violat	ion		Statutory Lin	nit Test	
	Estimated El	3 Amount \$55	•		Violation Final	Penalty Total	\$5,250
		This viol	ation Fina	al Assesse	ed Penalty (adjus	sted for limits)	\$5,250

TOTAL

\$55

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

Page 15 of 16	05/19/08	H:\ENFO	RCEILRO	Dertsvactiv	e Casesvi	AGENDAMeptune Int	I dba Pic N	Pay\PCW.qpw
Screen	ng Date	31-May-200)5	Do	cket No.	2005-0961-PST-E		PCW
the state of the s		Neptune In	ternationa	l Inc. dba F	Pick N Pay		Policy Revis	sion 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			,				PCV	V Revision May 19, 2005
Reg. Ent. Refere					٠			
		Petroleum : A. Sunday		ank				
			Udoetok					
	n Number	7	30.	Tev Admir	Code 8 3	334.45(c)(3)(A)		
Primary Ru				Tex. Admin	1. Code 9 c	JU4.40(U)(U)(A)		
Secondary Rule Cite(s) Violation Description		Failure to install a UL-listed emergency shutoff valve (shear or impact) or						
						Bas	e Penalty[\$10,000
>> Environme	ental, Pro	perty and	l Humar Harm	Health	Matrix			
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	1			
OR	Actual Potential		×			Percent 10%		
	Otentia	<u> </u>		L	1	10.00111 10.70		
>> Programm	atic Matı	rix						
. [Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	I	Percent		
[<u> </u>			J	r ercent		
Matrix Notes	of signific	ant amount	of petrole	um product	ts which m	result in the release ay not exceed levels ental receptors.		
						Adjustment[-\$9,000	1
						Base Penalty	Subtotal [\$1,000
Violation E	vents							;
Numbe	er of Violati	on Events	. 2					
	ark only one ise a small x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	X			Violation Bas	e Penalty [\$2,000
						the March 31, 2005 d of September 30,		
Economic	Benefit (EB) for th	is violat	tion		Statutory Limit To	est	
Es	timated El	3 Amount	\$28			Violation Final Pen	alty Total	\$2,100
			This viol	lation Fina	ıl Assesse	ed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits)	\$2,100

TOTAL

\$28

\$500

Approx. Cost of Compliance

Compliance History

Custome	r/Respondent/Owner-Op	erator:	CN601270804	Neptune International In	c.	Classification:	AVERAGE	Rating: 0.330
Regulated Entity:		RN102464559	PICK N PAY		Classification:	AVERAGE	Site Rating: 0.33	
ID Numbe	er(s):		PETROLEUM STO REGISTRATION	PRAGE TANK	REGISTRAT	ION		67250
Location:		2510 BERRY RD,	HOUSTON, TX, 77093		Rating Date: 9	9/1/04 Repeat V	iolator; NO	
TCEQ Region:		REGION 12 - HOU	STON					
Date Con	npliance History Prepare	d:	June 14, 2005					
Agency D	ecision Requiring Comp	liance History:	Enforcement					
Compliance Period:		June 14, 2000 to J	une 14, 2005					
TCEQ St	aff Member to Contact fo	r Additional Inform	ation Regarding this (Compliance History				
Name:	A. Sunday Udo	etok	Pho	one: (512) 239 073	39			
			Site C	compliance History Co	mponents			
1. Has the	e site been in existence a	and/or operation fo	r the full five year con	npliance period?	Yes			
2. Has the	ere been a (known) char	ige in ownership of	the site during the co	ompliance period?	Yes		•	
3. If Yes,	who is the current owner	r?			Neptune Internation	ernational Inc.		•
4. if Yes,	who was/were the prior	owner(s)?			Neptune Inter	national Inc.		
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?					01/27/2003			
Compor	nents (Multimedia) fo	r the Site :						•
A.	Final Enforcement Orc	lers, court judgeme	ents, and consent dec	rees of the state of Texas	and the federal gover	nment.		
	N/A							
B.	Any criminal conviction	ns of the state of Te	exas and the federal g	government.				
	N/A	•						
C.	Chronic excessive emi	issions events.						
	N/A							
D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)								
	1 10/02/2002 2 08/29/2000	(10615) (59458)						
_	Written notices of viola		DS Inv. Track No.)					
Ę.	Date: 08/29/2000	(59458)	DO IIIV. FIGUR. 140.)					•
	Self Report? NO	(55.55)		Classificat	ion: Minor			
	Citation:	30 TAC Chapter Description: FAILL	115, SubChapter C 1 IRE TO COMPLY	15.245(2)				
F.	Environmental audits.							
	N/A			·				
	I	Description:						
G.	Type of environmental	management syste	ems (EMSs).					
	N/A							
H.	Voluntary on-site comp	oliance assessmen	t dates.					
	N/A							
1.	Participation in a volun	tary pollution reduc	ction program.					
	N/A							
J.	Early compliance.		-	-				

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

Ü

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	BEFORE THE
CONCERNING	§	
NEPTUNE INTERNATIONAL,	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
INC. DBA PICK N PAY;	§	
RN102464559	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2005-0961-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Neptune International, Inc. dba Pick N Pay ("Neptune") under the authority of Tex. Water Code ch. 7 and 26, and Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and Neptune appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Neptune owned and operated a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 2510 Berry Road, Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Station"). Neptune's two underground storage tanks (USTs) were not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission. Neptune's USTs contained a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the Commission. The Station consisted of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382, Tex. Water Code ch. 26, and TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and Neptune agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Neptune is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Neptune received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 25, 2005.

- 5. The Executive Director recognizes that Neptune no longer owns or operates the Station.
- 6. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Neptune of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 7. An administrative penalty in the amount of nineteen thousand nine hundred fifty dollars (\$19,950.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II. Neptune has paid one five hundred sixty dollars (\$560.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of nineteen thousand three hundred ninety dollars (\$19,390.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in thirty-five (35) monthly payments of five hundred fifty-four dollars (\$554.00) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If Neptune fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of Neptune to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Neptune to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.
- 8. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 9. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Neptune have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Neptune has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

Neptune is alleged to have violated:

- 1. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(3)(A) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), by failing to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system (the "System") in proper operating condition and free of defects which would impair the effectiveness of the System, including absence or disconnection of components which are part of the approved System, as specified by the manufacture and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board ("CARB") Executive Order(s), as documented during an inspection conducted on March 31, 2005.
- 2. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), by failing to verify proper operation of the System by not performing required annual and triennial testing of the System, as documented during an inspection conducted on March 31, 2005.
- 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246(1), (3), (4), (6), and (7)(A), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), by failing to maintain on-site and make immediately available for review upon request by a TCEQ representative the following records: a copy of the CARB Executive Order(s) or third-party certification(s) for the System and related components installed at the Station; a record of any maintenance conducted on the System; proof of attendance and completion of Stage II training requirements and documentation of such training for current Station employees; and a record of the results of daily inspections conducted at the Station, as documented during an inspection conducted on March 31, 2005.
- 4. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.45(c)(3)(A), by failing to have on each pressurized delivery or product line a UL-listed emergency shutoff valve installed and securely anchored at the base of the dispenser, as documented during an inspection conducted on March 31, 2005.
- 5. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.48(c), by failing to conduct effective manual or automatic inventory control for the UST system, as documented during an inspection conducted on March 31, 2005.
- 6. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(c)(2)(C) and (c)(4)(C), and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d), by failing to regularly inspect, at least once every sixty (60) days, the cathodic protection system, and failing to have the cathodic protection system inspected and tested by a qualified corrosion specialist or corrosion technician within three to six months after installation and at a subsequent frequency of at least once every three years, as documented during an inspection conducted on March 31, 2005.

7. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A), (b)(2), and (b)(2)(A)(i)(III), and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1) and (a), by failing to provide proper release detection for the UST system. Specifically, Neptune failed to monitor the tanks in a manner which would detect a release at a frequency of at least once a month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), failed to have the pressurized piping line tested or monitored for releases, and failed to test the line leak detectors at least once a year for performance and operational reliability, as documented during an inspection conducted on March 31, 2005.

III. DENIALS

Neptune generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDER

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Neptune pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 7, above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Neptune's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Neptune International, Inc. dba Pick N Pay; Docket No. 2005-0961-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Neptune.
- 3. If Neptune fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Neptune's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Neptune shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Neptune shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Neptune becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and

substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Neptune shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Neptune receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Neptune in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 6. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 7. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Neptune, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Neptune, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTA	AL QUALITY
For the Commission	
0.0.	
La Brigner Perlie	5/19/08
For the Executive Director	Date
authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Ord	ne attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am der on behalf of the entity indicated below my as specified therein. I further acknowledge that the bunt, is materially relying on such representation.
additional penalties, and/or attorney fees,Increased penalties in any future enforcem	result in: nce history; as submitted by Neptune; General's office for contempt, injunctive relief, or to a collection agency; nent actions against Neptune; I's Office of any future enforcement actions against
In addition, any falsification of any compliance d Signature	ocuments may result in criminal prosecution. 3.14.08 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized representative of	PRESIDENT. Title